## Tennessee Department of Children's Services Office of Child Safety Quality Review for Investigations

Case Name:			Investigation ID:				Review Peri	Review Period:	
Grand Region:		Region:				County:			
Lea Inv	nd estigator:		Investigator:				Investigation Coordinator		
Qu	ıantitativ	e Checklist:							
					Yes	No	Not Applicable		Comments
1	Was the Pr	riority Response timeframe met?							
2	Was CPIT notified/convened?								
3	Was the District Attorney notified of both case initiation and closure?								
4	Was the local Juvenile Court Judge notified of both case initiation and closure?								
5	Was the Safety Assessment completed within 72 hours?								
6	Was the FAST submitted within 10 business days?								
7	Was TFACT	ΓS reviewed for child/family histo	ry?						
8	Were face-	-to-face contacts or good faith ef	forts made with	all ACV(s)?					
9	Was a fore	nsic interview conducted?							
10	Did a home/site visit occur or were good faith efforts made?								
11	Was the composition of the household described?								
12	Were all siblings/household members and relevant witnesses interviewed/observed?								
13	Was TFACTS documentation recorded within 30 days of activity/contact?								
14	4 Was the investigation classified within 30 days?								
15	Were Adm	inistrative Reviews conducted as	required?						
16	·								

	mpleted Documents: e correctly completed items should be located in TFACTS, when applicable)				
		Yes	No	Not Applicable	Comments
1	CPS Intake Packet Documents Verification CS-0050: Acknowledgement of Receipt of Client Rights Handbook (CS-0835), Native American Heritage Veto Verification (CS-0824), HIPAA Notice of Privacy Practices – Client Acknowledgement (CS-0699), and Notification of Equal Access to Programs (CS-0158)				
2	CPIT meeting form (CS-0561)				
3	IPA/Affidavit of Reasonable Efforts/Court Petitions & Orders				
4	Authorization for Release of Information to /from DCS (CS-0668 & CS-0559)				
5	Letter A, Notification to Perpetrator & Request for Formal File Review (CS-0554)				
6	Tennessee Early Intervention Services Referral (CS-0811)				

		Artifact	Exceeds Expectation	Meets Expectation	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable	Not Applicable	Justification for Rating
1	Quality Documentation  Examples of documentation that indicate competency in the above expectation may include:  Proper grammar, syntax and spelling.  Opening case summary.  Closing case summary.  Justification for each allegation classification.  Use of proper names (e.g., Ms. Thomas, biological mom).  Use of professional titles (e.g., Sgt. Smith, Dr. Jones, etc.).  Referent information kept confidential.  Other:	Case Recordings Investigation Summary & Classification Decision (CS- 0740)						
2	Assessment of Safety  Examples of documentation that indicate competency in the above expectation may include:  • How the conditions of the environment affect the immediate health and safety of the child.  • Physical injuries to the child or the threat to cause such injuries by the caretaker.  • Alleged perpetrator's access to the child.  • How substance abuse issues (including manufacturing of methamphetamine), criminal activities, family conflict or domestic violence are immediately threatening the health and safety of the child.  • How the lack of supervision by the parent/caretaker affects the immediate safety of the child.  • Non-offending parent/caretaker's response to allegations and protective capacity.  • Parent/caretaker's willingness to recognize problems which place the child in imminent danger.  • Consult with Regional General Counsel regarding child safety.	Case Recording of Home Visit Case Recording of ACV Interview Case Recording of Parent/ Caretaker Interview  Case Recording of Referent/ Witness Interview  FAST Safety Assessment  Case Recording of IPA and Court Order						

		Artifact	Exceeds Expectation	Meets Expectation	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable	Not Applicable	Justification for Rating
3	Assessment of Risks  Examples of documentation that indicate competency in the above expectation may include:  • History of parent/caretaker's emotional instability, substance abuse, family conflict or domestic violence and prior contact with DCS.  • Parent/caretaker's level of attachment to the child.  • Poor parenting skills of the parent/caretaker (e.g., inappropriate discipline, poor hygiene, insufficient medical care).  • Protective factors present in the parent/caretaker's ability to care for the child.  • Parent/caretaker's willingness to accept services.  • Parent/caretaker's cognitive, physical and emotional capacity to participate in services. Child's current physical, mental and social wellbeing within the home as well as within other environments (e.g., child's behavior at school or community, visibility or isolation of the child).  • Consult with MSW regarding trauma reduction.  • Other:	Case Recording of Home Visit  Case Recording of ACV Interview  Case Recording of Parent/ Caretaker Interview  Case Recording of Referent Interview  Case Recording of Witness Interview  Case Recording of Witness Interview  Case Recording of Consult  FAST						

		Artifact	Exceeds Expectation	Meets Expectation	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable	Not Applicable	Justification for Rating
4	Effective Engagement with Child & Family  Examples of documentation that indicate competency in the above expectation may include:  Purpose of CPS contact with the child/family.  Location/setting of interviews.  Child/family strengths, needs, health, and safety.  Effective parenting skills and appropriate discipline.  Financial status, family dynamics, community resources available and/or other support systems.  Parent/caretaker's action steps to maintain safety and reduce risks.  Desired outcomes for the child/family.  Progress by the child/family.	Case Recording of ACV Interview  Case Recording of Parent/ Caretaker Interview  Case Recording of Referent Interview  Case Recording of Referent Interview  Case Interview  Case Recording of Witness Interview						
5	Identifies and Initiates Services Appropriately & Timely Examples of documentation that indicate competency in the above expectation may include:  • Safety Assessment supports decisions for the child/family.  • FAST supports decisions for the child/family.  • CFTM planning with the child/family.  • Family Permanency Plan includes results from Safety Assessment/FAST to identify actions, objectives and goals based needs of the child/family.  • Family Functional Assessment.  • Referrals to identified service providers.  • Implementation of recommended services.  Other:	Safety Assessment  FAST  CFTM Form  FPP Form  FFA Form  Providers Reports						

		Artifact	Exceeds Expectation	Meets Expectation	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable	Not Applicable	Justification for Rating
6	Evidence Supports Allegation(s) Classification(s) Examples of documentation that indicate competency in the above expectation may include:	Drug Screen Results  Photographs  Case Recording of Home Visit  Medical Records  Case Recording of the Alleged Perpetrator's Interview  Case Recording of Witness Interview  Police Reports  Provider Assessments/ Evaluations	Expectation	Expectation			П	

Narrative Summary:		
		······································
		······································
		<del></del>
Investigator's Signature:	<u>Lead</u> <u>Investigator's</u> <u>Signature:</u>	Results Reviewed  Date: